Snakemake 🔊

"A scalable bioinformatics workflow engine"

Vukan Jevtić, Louis Gerken March 9, 2022

Technische Universität Dortmund

Motivation: Analysis workflow automation

We would like to automate our analysis workflow

Make

- + Universal, always available
- + Supports abstraction
- Exclusively Bash
- Hard to read
- Hard to debug
- Hard to find rule for specific file
 Especially if abstraction is used

Motivation: Analysis workflow automation

We would like to automate our analysis workflow

Make

- + Universal, always available
- + Supports abstraction
- Exclusively Bash
- Hard to read
- Hard to debug
- Hard to find rule for specific file
 Especially if abstraction is used

Snakemake

- + Install via conda
- Recipes can contain either Bash or Python(!)
- + Recipes are named
- + Much easier to read
- + Abstractions are easy to understand
- + Can submit jobs to a computing cluster
- + If rule fails: Corrupted output is deleted
- Additional .snakemake directory

In every analysis, some degree of abstraction is needed The following Makefile generates a plot for each dataset in current directory

```
1 interp=python
2 files:=$(shell find . -name '*.csv')
3 plots:=$(patsubst %.csv,%.pdf,$(files))
4
5 all: ${plots}
6
7 %.pdf: %.py %.csv
8 ${interp} $< $@ $(word 2, $^)</pre>
```

This is already quite hard to read

\$ conda config --add channels bioconda \$ conda install snakemake

Cite as:

 Köster, Johannes and Rahmann, Sven. "Snakemake - A scalable bioinformatics workflow engine". Bioinformatics 2012.

Further reading (links)

- \cdot Documentation
- Implementation details
- Even more implementation details (TU Dortmund thesis)

Workflow:
$$raw_data.csv \rightarrow data.csv \rightarrow plot.pdf$$

Workflow: $raw_data.csv \rightarrow dat$	a.csv → plot.pdf
	Snakemake
	<pre>1 rule make_plot: 2 input: "data.csv" 2 output: "plot pdf"</pre>
Make	4 shell: "python plot.py"
plot.pdf: data.csv python plot.py	5 6 rule select_data: 7 input: "raw_data.csv"
data.csv: raw_data.csv python selection.py	<pre>8 output: "data.csv" 9 shell: "python selection.py"</pre>
Minimal console command	Minimal console command

Workflow: raw_data.csv → d	lata.csv → plot.pdf
	Snakemake
	1 <mark>rule make_plot:</mark> 2
Make	3 output: "plot.pdf" 4 shell: "python plot.py"
plot.pdf: data.csv python plot.py	5 6 <mark>rule</mark> select_data:
data.csv: raw_data.csv	7 input: "raw_data.csv" 8 output: "data.csv" 9 shell: "pythop_selection_py"
python setection.py	Sheet: python setection.py
Running by specifying output file	Running by specifying output file
<pre>\$ make plot.pdf</pre>	<pre>\$ snakemake plot.pdf</pre>

\$ make plot.pdf

Vukan Jevtić

Snakemake

Workflow: raw_data.csv → da	ata.csv → plot.pdf
	Snakemake
	1 rule make_plot:
Make	3 output: "plot.pdf"
plot.pdf: data.csv	4 shell: "python plot.py" 5
python plot.py	<pre>6 rule select_data: 7</pre>
data.csv: raw_data.csv	8 output: "data.csv"
python selection.py	<pre>9 shell: "python selection.py"</pre>
Running by specifying name of rule	Running by specifying name of rule

\$??? Vukan Jevtić \$ snakemake make_plot



"snakemake -nr" console output (dry run with reason for each rule)

```
Building DAG of jobs...
Job counts:
       count
               make plot
               select data
[Wed Feb 19 15:50:05 2020]
rule select_data:
   input: raw_data.csv
   output: data.csv
   reason: Missing output files: data.csv
[Wed Feb 19 15:50:05 2020]
rule make_plot:
   input: data.csv
   output: plot.pdf
   jobid: 0
   reason: Missing output files: plot.pdf; Input files updated by another job: data.csv
Job counts:
               make plot
                select_data
This was a dry-run (flag -n). The order of jobs does not reflect the order of execution.
```

"snakemake" console output

```
Building DAG of jobs...
Using shell: /usr/local/bin/bash
Provided cores: 256
Rules claiming more threads will be scaled down.
Job counts:
               make_plot
                select_data
[Wed Feb 19 15:38:12 2020]
rule select data:
    input: raw data.csv
    output: data.csv
[Wed Feb 19 15:38:12 2020]
Finished job 1.
1 of 2 steps (50%) done
[Wed Feb 19 15:38:12 2020]
rule make plot:
    input: data.csv
    output: plot.pdf
    jobid: 0
[Wed Feb 19 15:38:14 2020]
Finished job 0.
2 of 2 steps (100%) done
Complete log: /net/nfshome/home/somepath/.snakemake/log/2020-02-19T153812.433826.snakemake.log
```

Physics analysis example

To train a BDT, we usually need a selected data and mc file

```
rule data preselection:
   input: "data_raw.root"
   output: "data selected.root"
    shell: "python selection.py data_raw.root data_selected.root"
rule mc preselection:
   input: "mc_raw.root"
   output: "mc selected.root"
    shell: "python selection.py mc_raw.root mc_selected.root"
rule train_bdt:
   input:
  "data_selected.root",
   output: "bdt.model"
   shell: "python train bdt.py data selected.root mc selected.root"
```

input, output, shell etc. are optional

Snakemake

Physics analysis example

To train a BDT, we usually need a selected data and mc file

```
rule data preselection:
   input: "data_raw.root"
   output: "data selected.root"
    shell: "python selection.py data_raw.root data_selected.root"
rule mc preselection:
   input: "mc_raw.root"
   output: "mc selected.root"
    shell: "python selection.py mc_raw.root mc_selected.root"
rule train_bdt:
   input:
  "data_selected.root",
   output: "bdt.model"
   shell: "python train bdt.py data selected.root mc selected.root"
```

Would be nice to reduce amount of repetitions

Physics analysis example

We can alias files \Rightarrow rules can reference their own parameters

```
rule data preselection:
   input: "data_raw.root"
   output: "data selected.root"
   shell: "python selection.py {input} {output}"
rule mc preselection:
   input: "mc_raw.root"
   output: "mc selected.root"
    shell: "python selection.py {input} {output}"
rule train_bdt:
   input:
     data = rules.data_preselection.output,
          = rules.mc preselection.output
     mc
   output: "bdt.model"
   shell: "python train_bdt.py {input.data} {input.mc}"
```

Strings containing { . . . } are formatted Vukan Jevtić Snakemake

9/25

Executing arbitrary python code in Snakefiles

A Snakefile can be treated almost like a python script:

```
import uproot
2 import pandas
 import numpy as np
  def say hello(name):
      print(f"Hello {name}!")
  rule somerule:
      input: files = [f"dataset {num}.root" for num in range(100)]
      run:
          say hello("E5")
          for tfile in input.files:
              ds = uproot.open(tfile)["DecayTree"]
              data = ds.arrays("B_P[XY]", outputtype=pandas.DataFrame)
              print(np.sqrt(data.B PX**2 + data.B PY**2))
```

Executing python scripts

Instead of **shell** or **run** a script can be invoked. (It does not need to be a python script)

rule massfit:

```
input: "data.root"
output: "parameters.txt", "plot.pdf"
params:
fitConstrained = False,
extendedMLFit = True
script: "massfit.py"
```

massfit.py:

- 1 import ROOT as R
- 2 from ROOT import RooFit
- 3 fitContrained = snakemake.params.fitConstrained
- 4 extendedMLFit = snakemake.params.extendedMLFit
- 5 # Load datasets, fit something...

Useful command line options

Just print scheduled rules without running

\$ snakemake <rule> -n

Print the reason for running each rule as well

\$ snakemake <rule> -n -r

Force execution of target

\$ snakemake <rule> -f

Force execution of a target and its workflow

\$ snakemake <rule> -F

Force re-execution of rule and its workflow

\$ snakemake <rule> -R

Run workflow until specified rule

\$ snakemake <rule> --until <rule>

Update timestamps \rightarrow force files up to date

\$ snakemake <rule> --touch

Ignore errors

\$ snakemake <rule> --keep-going

Rerun incomplete rules (in case of crash)

\$ snakemake --rerun-incomplete

Print shell commands that snakemake runs

```
$ snakemake -p
```

Snakemake has an integrated method for generating lists of files: expand(...)

L rule file_requester: 2 input: expand("file_{cat}_{num}.txt", cat=["A", "B"], num=range(3))

The following list is created as input:

file_A_0.txt, file_A_1.txt, file_A_2.txt, file_B_0.txt, file_B_1.txt, file_B_2.txt

Next level of abstraction: Wildcards

A wildcard rule matches patterns in dependencies

```
1 rule single_selection:
2    input: "data_{num}.root"
3    output: "data_{num}_selected.root"
4    shell: "python run_selection.py {input} {output}"
5
6 rule select_files:
7    input: expand("data_{n}_selected.root", n=range(10))
```

Note:

- 1. Input and output *must* contain same wildcards
- 2. A wildcard rule cannot be called directly by its name
- 3. Two rules should not contain the same outputs

A wildcard rule matches patterns in dependencies

```
1 rule single_selection:
2 input: "data_{num}.root"
3 output: "data_{num}_selected.root"
4 shell: "python run_selection.py {input} {output}"
5
6 rule select_files:
7 input: expand("data_{n}_selected.root", n=range(10))
```

If one runs

\$ snakemake select_files

rule select_files is going to call the wildcard rule for 10 different files

A wildcard rule matches patterns in dependencies

```
1 rule single_selection:
2 input: "data_{num}.root"
3 output: "data_{num}_selected.root"
4 shell: "python run_selection.py {input} {output}"
5
6 rule select_files:
7 input: expand("data_{n}_selected.root", n=range(10))
```

If one runs

\$ snakemake data_7_selected.root

rule select_files is going to call the wildcard rule for case num = 7

Inside a wildcard rule, a variable named "wildcards" is defined

```
rule my_wildcard_rule:
    input: "file_{channel}_{polarity}_{year}.root"
    output: "file_{channel}_{polarity}_{year}_out.root"
    message: "Reading {wildcards.year} file"
    run:
        print("Running the rule for year = {wildcards.year}")
        if wildcards.year == "2017" and wildcards.channel == "B2JpsiKstar":
            print("This is my favourite dataset!")
        shell("python run_selection {input} {output} -year {wildcards.year}")
```

But what if a certain combination of wildcards needs to be treated differently? \Rightarrow Use wildcard constraints

Wildcard constraints

```
1 rule somerule:
2 input: "data_{year}.root"
3 output: "massplot_{year}.pdf"
4 wildcard_constraints: year="201[578]"
5 shell: "python massfit.py {input}"
6
7 rule somerule_special_case:
8 input: rules.somerule.input
9 output: rules.somerule.output
wildcard_constraints: year="2016"
1 shell: "python massfit.py {input} -be_careful"
```

If you need to treat a wildcard value differently from the others, you need to constrain them for each relevant rule as shown here Here, regex can be quite useful: regex101.com. At some point, you may realize that you need more than 1 CPU... Luckily, there is an option for that:

\$ snakemake my_analysis -j20

This command is going to (try to) parallelize your workflow into 20 parallel chains

At some point, you may realize that you need more than 1 CPU... Luckily, there is an option for that:

\$ snakemake my_analysis -j20

This command is going to (try to) parallelize your workflow into 20 parallel chains

But what do you do if you need $\mathcal{O}(100)$ CPUs, for example 300 CPUs and 1TB of RAM? \Rightarrow Send your jobs to our own cluster! (For huge jobs, please ask your supervisor for permission) At some point, you may realize that you need more than 1 CPU... Luckily, there is an option for that:

\$ snakemake my_analysis -j20

This command is going to (try to) parallelize your workflow into 20 parallel chains

But what do you do if you need $\mathcal{O}(100)$ CPUs, for example 300 CPUs and 1TB of RAM? \Rightarrow Send your jobs to our own cluster! (for huge jobs, please ask your supervisor for permission) But what do you do if you need $\mathcal{O}(1000)$ CPUs and $\mathcal{O}(20)$ GPUs? \Rightarrow Send your jobs to the LiDO cluster of our university

A tutorial can be found here: **Click me!** Submitting to a HTCondor computing cluster can be as simple as:

\$ snakemake <rule> -j999 --profile htcondor

```
rule clusterrule:
   input: "file.txt"
   output: "outfile.txt"
   threads: 12
   resources:
     MaxRunHours=24, # Job takes up to a day
     request_memory=1024 # Request RAM in MB
     request_gpus=1,  # Submit to a machine with GPU
     request disk=1000000 # Disk requirement in kB
   run:
       print(f"This rule is allowed to use {threads} threads")
```

Submit with the same command lean back while the cluster takes off 🖪

Subworkflows

Snakefiles can be connected via subworkflows: Main Snakefile

```
1 subworkflow another_worklow:
2 workdir: 'path/to/other/workdir'
3 snakefile: 'path/to/other/workdir/Snakefile'
4
5 rule master_rule:
6 input: another_worklow("text.txt")
```

```
Another Snakefile
```

1 rule create_file: 2 output: "text.txt" 3 shell: "touch text.txt"

Various file wrappers:

- Timestamp of files wrapped in ancient("filename") is ignored
- Files wrapped in protected("filename") are not deleted by Snakemake
- A file wrapped in temp("filename") is deleted after rule is finished
- touch("filename") creates an empty file with that name as output

Setting a function as rule input:

Some more useful tips

Various file wrappers:

- Timestamp of files wrapped in ancient("filename") is ignored
- Files wrapped in protected("filename") are not deleted by Snakemake
- A file wrapped in temp("filename") is deleted after rule is finished
- touch("filename") creates an empty file with that name as output

Using a config file

config.json { "param_a" : "362", "param_b" : "cat" }

Snakefile
configfile: "config.json"
param_a = config["param_a"]
param_b = config["param_b"]

Advanced Topics

Virtualisation in Snakemake via Singularity

Single rules (or the whole Snakefile) can be configured to run in an arbitrary virtual environment

- **rule** envrule:
 - input: "file.txt"
- output: "outfile.txt"
- singularity: "/path/to/singularity/container.simg"
- shell: "SomeShellCommand"

This is limited to **shell** and **script** execution When calling snakemake, singularity needs to be activated:

Binding **/run** is obligatory, the rest is optional When **singularity: ...** is defined outside of a rule it is implied for all rules

COMMON ERRORS

Common mistakes: Wrong wildcard deduction

```
1 rule somerule:
2 output: "afile_{year}_{polarity}.root"
3 shell: "echo Running rule"
4 5 rule requester:
6 input: "afile_2017_MagnetUp_garbage.root"
```

This is valid code: rule requester is calling somerule with (for example) year="2017_MagnetUp" and polarity="garbage"

Common mistakes: Wrong wildcard deduction

```
1 rule somerule:
2 output: "afile_{year}_{polarity}.root"
3 shell: "echo Running rule"
4 5 rule requester:
6 input: "afile_2017_MagnetUp_garbage.root"
```

This is valid code: rule requester is calling somerule with (for example) year="2017_MagnetUp" and polarity="garbage" This will eventually lead to an error →define what wildcard values are allowed

- 1 wildcard_constraints:
 - year="201[5678]",
- polarity="Magnet(Up|Down)"

Note: these are regex strings