# **CR Propagation & Simulation II**

### **On the transition from Galactic to extragalactic cosmic rays**

### Alex Kääpä

Lecture Series Astroteilchenphysik 2 - Crossing the Desert Session 6 Zoom conference  $15^{\text{th}}$  November 2021



### BERGISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WUPPERTAL

SPONSORED BY THE



Federal Ministry of Education and Research

### **Outline of lecture**

#### 1) The transition region in data

- Spectrum, composition and dipole anisotropy
- Open questions

### 2) Computational challenges and requirements

- Ballistic vs. diffusive propagation
- Galactic magnetic field modelling

#### 3) Combating the transition region: Propagation in the Galactic magnetic field

- Propagation effects in the GMF
- Effect on observables (flux, composition and arrival direction)
- 4) Summary

# The transition region in data

Broken power-law with three 'main' features:

- **'knee'**: softening at  $\sim 10^{15.4} \text{ eV}$
- 'ankle': hardening at  $\sim 10^{18.7} \text{ eV}$
- high-energy cut-off beyond  $\sim 10^{19.6} \, \mathrm{eV}$

Further more subtle features:

- hardening at ~ $10^{16.7}$  eV
- '2<sup>nd</sup> knee': softening at ~ $10^{17.(0...4)}$  eV
- 'toe': softening at  $\sim 10^{19.1} \text{ eV}$

**Galactic** cosmic rays (**GCR**s) for diffusive shock acceleration (DSA) in supernova remnants (SNR) dominate **below 'knee'** energies.

**Extragalactic** cosmic rays (**EGCR**s) dominate at energies **above 'ankle'**.

**Transition** region (= 'shin') **unexplained**:

• unaccounted for flux



Broken power-law with three 'main' features:

- **'knee'**: softening at  $\sim 10^{15.4} \text{ eV}$
- 'ankle': hardening at  $\sim 10^{18.7} \text{ eV}$
- high-energy cut-off beyond  $\sim 10^{19.6} \, \mathrm{eV}$

Further more subtle features:

- hardening at ~ $10^{16.7}$  eV
- '2<sup>nd</sup> knee': softening at ~ $10^{17.(0...4)}$  eV
- 'toe': softening at  $\sim 10^{19.1} \text{ eV}$

**Galactic** cosmic rays (**GCR**s) for diffusive shock acceleration (DSA) in supernova remnants (SNR) dominate **below 'knee'** energies.

**Extragalactic** cosmic rays (**EGCR**s) dominate at energies **above 'ankle'**.

**Transition** region (= 'shin') **unexplained**:

• unaccounted for flux



#### Transition from GCRs to EGCRs



#### Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de



Transition from GCRs to EGCRs

#### Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

20

ankle



Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de



#### Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de



#### Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de



#### Transition from GCRs to EGCRs



#### Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de



Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de



#### Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

Broken power-law with three 'main' features:

- **'knee'**: softening at  $\sim 10^{15.4} \text{ eV}$
- **'ankle'**: hardening at  $\sim 10^{18.7} \text{ eV}$
- high-energy cut-off beyond  $\sim 10^{19.6} \text{ eV}$

Further more subtle features:

- 'low-energy ankle' at  ${\sim}10^{16.7}\,{\rm eV}$
- '2<sup>nd</sup> knee': softening at ~ $10^{17.(0...4)}$  eV
- 'toe': softening at  $\sim 10^{19.1} \, \mathrm{eV}$

**Galactic** cosmic rays (**GCR**s) for diffusive shock acceleration (DSA) in supernova remnants (SNR) dominate **below 'knee'** energies.

**Extragalactic** cosmic rays (**EGCR**s) dominate at energies **above 'ankle'**.

**Transition** region (= 'shin') **unexplained**:

• unaccounted for flux



Broken power-law with three 'main' features:

- **'knee'**: softening at  $\sim 10^{15.4}$  eV
- **'ankle'**: hardening at  $\sim 10^{18.7}$  eV
- high-energy cut-off beyond  $\sim 10^{19.6} \text{ eV}$

Further more subtle features:

- 'low-energy ankle' at  ${\sim}10^{16.7}\,{\rm eV}$
- '2<sup>nd</sup> knee': softening at ~ $10^{17.(0...4)}$  eV
- 'toe': softening at  $\sim 10^{19.1} \text{ eV}$

**Galactic** cosmic rays (**GCR**s) for diffusive shock acceleration (DSA) in supernova remnants (SNR) dominate **below 'knee'** energies.

**Extragalactic** cosmic rays (**EGCR**s) dominate at energies **above 'ankle'**.

**Transition** region (= 'shin') **unexplained**:

• unaccounted for flux



Transition from GCRs to EGCRs

Broken power-law with three 'main' features:

- **'knee'**: softening at  $\sim 10^{15.4}$  eV
- **'ankle'**: hardening at  $\sim 10^{18.7}$  eV
- high-energy cut-off beyond  $\sim 10^{19.6} \text{ eV}$

Further more subtle features:

- 'low-energy ankle' at  ${\sim}10^{16.7}\,{\rm eV}$
- '2<sup>nd</sup> knee': softening at ~ $10^{17.(0...4)}$  eV
- 'toe': softening at  $\sim 10^{19.1} \text{ eV}$

**Galactic** cosmic rays (**GCR**s) for diffusive shock acceleration (DSA) in supernova remnants (SNR) dominate **below 'knee'** energies.

**Extragalactic** cosmic rays (**EGCR**s) dominate at energies **above 'ankle'**.

**Transition** region (= 'shin') **unexplained**:

• unaccounted for flux



Broken power-law with three 'main' features:

- **'knee'**: softening at  $\sim 10^{15.4}$  eV
- **'ankle'**: hardening at  $\sim 10^{18.7}$  eV
- high-energy cut-off beyond  $\sim 10^{19.6} \text{ eV}$

Further more subtle features:

- 'low-energy ankle' at  ${\sim}10^{16.7}\,{\rm eV}$
- '2<sup>nd</sup> knee': softening at ~ $10^{17.(0...4)}$  eV
- 'toe': softening at  $\sim 10^{19.1} \, \mathrm{eV}$

**Galactic** cosmic rays (**GCR**s) for diffusive shock acceleration (DSA) in supernova remnants (SNR) dominate **below 'knee'** energies.

**Extragalactic** cosmic rays (**EGCR**s) dominate at energies **above 'ankle'**.

**Transition** region (= 'shin') **unexplained**:

• unaccounted for flux



Transition from GCRs to EGCRs

Broken power-law with three 'main' features:

- **'knee'**: softening at  $\sim 10^{15.4}$  eV
- **'ankle'**: hardening at  $\sim 10^{18.7}$  eV
- high-energy cut-off beyond  $\sim 10^{19.6} \text{ eV}$

Further more subtle features:

- 'low-energy ankle' at  ${\sim}10^{16.7}\,{\rm eV}$
- '2<sup>nd</sup> knee': softening at ~ $10^{17.(0...4)}$  eV
- 'toe': softening at  $\sim 10^{19.1} \text{ eV}$

**Galactic** cosmic rays (**GCR**s) for diffusive shock acceleration (DSA) in supernova remnants (SNR) dominate **below 'knee'** energies.

**Extragalactic** cosmic rays (**EGCR**s) dominate at energies **above 'ankle'**.

**Transition** region (= 'shin') **unexplained**:

• unaccounted for flux



Broken power-law with three 'main' features:

- **'knee'**: softening at  $\sim 10^{15.4} \text{ eV}$
- **'ankle'**: hardening at  $\sim 10^{18.7}$  eV
- high-energy cut-off beyond  $\sim 10^{19.6} \text{ eV}$

Further more subtle features:

- 'low-energy ankle' at  ${\sim}10^{16.7}\,{\rm eV}$
- '2<sup>nd</sup> knee': softening at ~ $10^{17.(0...4)}$  eV
- 'toe': softening at  $\sim 10^{19.1} \text{ eV}$

**Galactic** cosmic rays (**GCR**s) for diffusive shock acceleration (DSA) in supernova remnants (SNR) dominate **below 'knee'** energies.

**Extragalactic** cosmic rays (**EGCR**s) dominate at energies **above 'ankle'**.

**Transition** region (= 'shin') **unexplained**:

• unaccounted for flux



#### Comis non comparitio

# Interlude:

Compositio dependent:

At ultra-high energies, cosmic ray composition is measured via:

 $\langle \ln A \rangle = \sum f_i \cdot \ln A_i$ 

- heavier
- maximu
- minimu
- **increas** high-ene

Increasing  $A_i$ : nuclear mass number of nucleus i = H, He, ..., Fe  $\rightarrow$  **rigidity**-  $f_i$ : fraction of nucleus i to total flux

- source p acceler
- Measure of mean mass of flux





# Cosmic ray composition

Composition highly energydependent:

- heavier beyond the 'knee'
- maximum **before** '2<sup>nd</sup> knee'
- minimum just before 'ankle'
- **increasing mean mass at** high-energy **cut-off**

Increasing mean mass → energy-dependent change in:

- source properties (maximum acceleration energy)
- **propagation regimes** in magnetic fields



# Cosmic ray composition

Composition highly energydependent:

- heavier beyond the 'knee'
- maximum **before** '2<sup>nd</sup> knee'
- minimum just before 'ankle'
- **increasing mean mass at** high-energy **cut-off**

Increasing mean mass → **rigidity-dependent** change in:

- source properties (maximum acceleration energy)
- **propagation regimes** in magnetic fields



### "All" data in one look

Composition:

- What **explains '2<sup>nd</sup> knee'** if maximum mean mass is reached well before?
- Why does the composition become **lighter up to the 'ankle'**?

Spectrum:

- How could **GCRs** be accelerated up to energies **beyond the 'knee'**?
- What constraints are there on low-energy contribution of EGCRs?
- How are observables affected by the propagation in the Galactic magnetic field (GMF)?

Simulation:

• (Qualitatively) reproduce features

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de



# Computational challenges and requirements

# Galactic magnetic field (GMF)

**GMF model: JF12** (ApJ 757 14x) with three components:

- Large-scale regular
- Large-scale random (striated)
- (Small-scale) random

GMF has **three regions** of differing **field strength**:

- Galactic plane (GP): ~ 1 10 μG
- Halo: ~  $0.1 1 \mu G$
- Edge of Galaxy: 10 100 nG

**Gyroradius**  $r_{g}$ :

$$r_{\rm g}[{
m pc}] \approx 11 \cdot rac{R \,[{
m PV}] \cdot v_{\perp}/c}{B \,[\mu {
m G}]}, \quad R = E/Ze$$

Transition region = change in propagation regimes

• **diffusive** → **ballistic** propagation

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

x-z projection of JF12 field



# Galactic magnetic field (GMF)

**GMF model: JF12** (ApJ 757 14x) with three components:

- Large-scale regular
- Large-scale random (striated)
- (Small-scale) random

GMF has **three regions** of differing **field strength**:

- Galactic plane (GP): ~ 1 10 μG
- Halo: ~ 0.1 1 μG
- Edge of Galaxy: 10 100 nG

#### **Gyroradius** $r_{g}$ :

$$r_{\rm g}[{
m pc}] \approx 11 \cdot \frac{R \,[{
m PV}] \cdot v_{\perp}/c}{B \,[\mu {
m G}]}, \quad R = E/Ze$$

Transition region = change in propagation regimes

• **diffusive** → **ballistic** propagation

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

#### Change of gyroradius with rigidity plus typical length scales of Galaxy



# Galactic magnetic field (GMF)

**GMF model: JF12** (ApJ 757 14x) with three components:

- Large-scale regular
- Large-scale random (striated)
- (Small-scale) random

GMF has **three regions** of differing **field strength**:

- Galactic plane (GP): ~ 1 10 μG
- Halo: ~ 0.1 1 μG
- Edge of Galaxy: 10 100 nG

#### **Gyroradius** $r_{g}$ :

$$r_{\rm g}[{
m pc}] \approx 11 \cdot \frac{R \,[{
m PV}] \cdot v_{\perp}/c}{B \,[\mu {
m G}]}, \quad R = E/Ze$$

Transition region = change in propagation regimes

• **diffusive** → **ballistic** propagation

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

#### Change of gyroradius with rigidity plus typical length scales of Galaxy



### **Ballistic propagation**

Solve equation of motion:

$$\ddot{\vec{r}} = \frac{q}{E/c^2} \left( \vec{v} \times \vec{B} \right)$$

- tracking of single particles (microscopic view)
- best suited when  $r_g$  is large
- applicable for arbitrary fields
   → more fundamental and precise\*
- particle trajectories are tracked
   → possibility of anisotropy studies

#### BUT:

- below  $\approx 10^{17}\,\mathrm{V},$  computation times start to diverge
- also: precision dependent on grid size (\*)



#### Transition from GCRs to EGCRs

## **Ballistic propagation**

Solve equation of motion:

$$\ddot{\vec{r}} = \frac{q}{E/c^2} \left( \vec{v} \times \vec{B} \right)$$

- tracking of single particles (microscopic view)
- best suited when  $r_g$  is large
- applicable for arbitrary fields
   → more fundamental and precise\*
- particle trajectories are tracked
   → possibility of anisotropy studies

#### BUT:

- below  $\approx 10^{17}\,\rm V$  , computation times start to diverge
- also: precision dependent on grid size (\*)

#### Change of computation time per particle with rigidity for propagation in GMF



#### Transition from GCRs to EGCRs

# **Diffusive propagation**

Solve transport equation:

$$\frac{n_l}{\partial t} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \left( D_{jk} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right) n_l \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ u_j \cdot n_l \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[ p^2 D_{pp} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left( \frac{n_l}{p^2} \right) \right] \\ - \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[ \dot{p} n_l - \frac{p}{3} \left( \nabla \cdot \vec{u} \right) \cdot n_l \right] + \sum_{j>l} \frac{v_l}{c} n_0 \int dp' \sigma_{j \to l}(p, p') n_j(p') - \frac{n_l}{\tau} + Q_l(p)$$

- multi-particle approach:
  - change of momentum density (macroscopic view)
- best suited when  $r_g$  is small & turbulent B-field component dominant
- generally shorter computation times

NOTE:

- CRPropa 3 has implement diffusive propagation module via SDEs (JCAP 06 (2017) 046)
- For a full description of the transition region both propagation methods must be applied

Trajectories of diffusively propagating GCRs





GMF not well known:

- field strength inferred indirectly via observables  $\rightarrow$  uncertainty in quantities, contamination
- ad hoc assumptions necessary (simplifications): morphological features (spiral arms, halo field), field components (regular, turbulent etc.)

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

Combating the transition region: Propagation in the GMF

# Procedure: Ballistic propagation with CRPropa3

### Forward tracking:

- particle tracked **from source to observer**:
- highly **inefficient** (1:10<sup>28</sup> for observer the size of Earth)
  - → increase observer size, BUT: this introduces **artefacts**!

**Only propagation** effects (i.e. only deflections/no interactions):

propagation of one nuclear species: proton → results can be scaled to all nuclei (important for composition)

### Galactic magnetic field model:

• JF12 (including regular, random and striated components)

 $\rightarrow$  edge of Galaxy defined as volume within which GMF is defined (20 kpc sphere are Galactic centre)

Source properties:

•  $R^{-1}$  injection spectrum,  $\lg(R/V) = 16.0 - 20.0$ 

# Procedure: Ballistic propagation with CRPropa3

Forward tracking:

- particle tracked **from source to observer**:
- highly **inefficient** (1:10<sup>28</sup> for observer the size of Earth)
  - → increase observer size, BUT: this introduces **artefacts**!

### **Only propagation** effects (i.e. only deflections/no interactions):

propagation of **one nuclear species: proton** → results can be scaled to all nuclei (important for composition)

### Galactic magnetic field model:

• JF12 (including regular, random and striated components)

 $\rightarrow$  edge of Galaxy defined as volume within which GMF is defined (20 kpc sphere are Galactic centre)

Source properties:

•  $R^{-1}$  injection spectrum,  $\lg(R/V) = 16.0 - 20.0$ 

# Procedure: Ballistic propagation with CRPropa3

### Forward tracking:

- particle tracked **from source to observer**:
- highly **inefficient** (1:10<sup>28</sup> for observer the size of Earth)
  - → increase observer size, BUT: this introduces **artefacts**!

**Only propagation** effects (i.e. only deflections/no interactions):

propagation of one nuclear species: proton → results can be scaled to all nuclei (important for composition)

### Galactic magnetic field model:

• JF12 (including regular, random and striated components)

→ edge of Galaxy defined as volume within which GMF is defined (20 kpc sphere are Galactic centre)

Source properties:

•  $R^{-1}$  injection spectrum,  $\lg(R/V) = 16.0 - 20.0$
## Procedure: Ballistic propagation with CRPropa3

#### Forward tracking:

- particle tracked **from source to observer**:
- highly **inefficient** (1:10<sup>28</sup> for observer the size of Earth)
  - → increase observer size, BUT: this introduces **artefacts**!

#### **Only propagation** effects (i.e. only deflections/no interactions):

propagation of one nuclear species: proton → results can be scaled to all nuclei (important for composition)

#### Galactic magnetic field model:

• JF12 (including regular, random and striated components)

 $\rightarrow$  edge of Galaxy defined as volume within which GMF is defined (20 kpc sphere are Galactic centre)

#### Source properties:

•  $R^{-1}$  injection spectrum,  $\lg(R/V) = 16.0 - 20.0$ 

Sources:

#### Galactic volume with GMF

- GCRs:
  - homogeneously distributed in GP
  - isotropic injection direction distribution
- EGCRs:
  - **isotropic injection:** Lambertian injection direction distribution from Galactic shell

Observers:

- 'Galactic plane': cylinder of 100 pc height around Galactic centre with variable radius
- 'Earth': observer sphere at Earth's position in Galactic coordinates (-8.5 kpc, 0, 0)

a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de Alex Kääpä



#### Sources:

#### GCR source distribution

- GCRs:
  - homogeneously distributed in GP
  - isotropic injection direction distribution
- EGCRs:
  - **isotropic injection:** Lambertian injection direction distribution from Galactic shell

Observers:

- 'Galactic plane': cylinder of 100 pc height around Galactic centre with variable radius
- **'Earth': observer sphere** at Earth's position in Galactic coordinates (-8.5 kpc, 0, 0)

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de



#### Sources:

#### EGCR source distribution

- GCRs:
  - homogeneously distributed in GP
  - isotropic injection direction distribution
- EGCRs:
  - **isotropic injection:** Lambertian injection direction distribution from Galactic shell

Observers:

- 'Galactic plane': cylinder of 100 pc height around Galactic centre with variable radius
- **'Earth': observer sphere** at Earth's position in Galactic coordinates (-8.5 kpc, 0, 0)

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de



Sources:

- GCRs:
  - homogeneously distributed in GP
  - isotropic injection direction distribution
- EGCRs:
  - **Isotropic injection:** Lambertian injection direction distribution from Galactic shell

Observers:

- 'Galactic plane': cylinder of 100 pc height around Galactic centre with variable radius
- **'Earth': observer sphere** at Earth's position in Galactic coordinates (-8.5 kpc, 0, 0)

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

Observer types: Earth and GP



## Change in propagation regimes: Deflection angle



 $\theta = \pi/2$  for  $\lg(R/V) \le 17 \Rightarrow$  diffusive propagation (see also: Erdman, Astropart.Phys. 85 (2016) 54-64) Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de Transition from GCRs to EGCRs

42

### Change in propagation regimes: Deflection angle



 $\theta = \pi/2$  for  $\lg(R/V) \le 17 \rightarrow$  diffusive propagation (see also: Erdman, Astropart.Phys. 85 (2016) 54-64) Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de Transition from GCRs to EGCRs

## Change in propagation regimes: Deflection angle



 $\theta = \pi/2$  for  $\lg(R/V) \le 17 \rightarrow$  diffusive propagation (see also: Erdman, Astropart.Phys. 85 (2016) 54-64) Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de Transition from GCRs to EGCRs

### Change in propagation regimes: Propagation time



#### Propagation time increases below rigidities of a few EV.

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

### Change in propagation regimes: Propagation time



#### Propagation time increases below rigidities of a few 1 EV.

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

### Change in propagation regimes: Propagation time



#### Propagation time increases below rigidities of a few 1 EV.

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

### Propagation effects: Galactic residence time



NOTE: Lowest-rigidity particles have residence times up to 100 Myr.

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

## Propagation effects: GCRs – Confinement in GP



## **Decreasing confinement** in GP with rigidity.

Relative time spent in GP decreases with rigidity; **inflection point at a few EV.** 

## Propagation effects: GCRs – Confinement in GP



**Decreasing confinement** in GP with rigidity.

Relative time spent in GP decreases with rigidity; **inflection point at a few EV.** 

## Propagation effects: GCRs – Confinement in GP



## **Decreasing confinement** in GP with rigidity.

Relative time spent in GP decreases with rigidity; **inflection point at a few EV.** 

#### Propagation effects: EGCRs – Shielding from vs. confinement in GP

Galactic trajectories  $(\lg(R/V) = 15 - 16.5)$ 

CR count reaching GP

Relative time spent in GP







Decreasing shielding from and confinement in GP with rigidity. CR count decreases for smaller rigidities; inflection point at a few EV. Relative time spent in GP decreases with rigidity; inflection point at a few EV.

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

#### Propagation effects: EGCRs – Shielding from vs. confinement in GP

Galactic trajectories  $(\lg(R/V) = 16 - 18.5)$ 

-2.5

-2.0 -1.5

- 0.5 - 1.0

- 1.5

2.0

CR count reaching GP

Relative time spent in GP







**Decreasing shielding** from and confinement in GP with rigidity.

CR count decreases for smaller rigidities; inflection point at a few EV.

Relative time spent in GP decreases with rigidity; inflection point at a few EV.

a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de Alex Kääpä

#### Propagation effects: EGCRs – Shielding from vs. confinement in GP

Galactic trajectories  $(\lg(R/V) = 18 - 20)$ 

CR count reaching GP

Relative time spent in GP







Decreasing shielding from and confinement in GP with rigidity. CR count decreases for smaller rigidities; inflection point at a few EV. Relative time spent in GP decreases with rigidity; inflection point at a few EV.

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

## Effect on observables: GCRs – Flux suppression

Rigidity spectrum (sigmoid fit)

#### Decreasing confinement → **flux reduction**

Mixed composition → heavier towards 'ankle'

Arrival direction distribution: **correlation with GP direction** above 0.1 EV



Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

## Effect on observables: GCRs – Heavier composition

Mean logarithm of mass number (sigmoid fit)

Decreasing confinement → **flux reduction** 

## Mixed composition → heavier towards 'ankle'

Arrival direction distribution: **correlation with GP direction** above 0.1 EV



#### Effect on observables: GCRs – Correlation with source direction (GP)

Decreasing confinement → **flux reduction** 

Mixed composition → heavier towards 'ankle'

Arrival direction distribution: **correlation with GP direction** above 0.1 EV



#### Effect on observables: Isotropic EGCRs – Flux conservation

**Rigidity spectrum** 

Apparent flux suppression for large observer sphere sizes; effect vanishes as  $r \rightarrow 0$ .

## Increased confinement in GP compensates increased shielding:

 $\rightarrow$  flux conservation

**Isotropic arrival direction** 



#### Effect on observables: Isotropic EGCRs – Isotropic arrival direction

Apparent flux suppression for large observer sphere sizes; effect vanishes as  $r \rightarrow 0$ .

Increased confinement in GP compensates increased shielding:

→ flux conservation

**Isotropic arrival direction** 

Arrival direction distribution





• Regions of enhanced/suppressed transparency shift with rigidity

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

#### Effect on observables: Anisotropic EGCRs – Why flux modification? Opacity of Galaxy Injection direction of observed EGCRs



• Regions of enhanced/suppressed transparency shift with rigidity

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

## Effect on observables: Anisotropic EGCRs – Why flux modification? Opacity of Galaxy Injection direction of observed EGCRs $(\lg(R/V) = 17-18)$



• Regions of enhanced/suppressed transparency shift with rigidity

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

#### Effect on observables: Anisotropic EGCRs – Why flux modification? Opacity of Galaxy Injection direction of observed EGCRs $(\lg(R/V) = 16-17)$ $60^{\circ}$ $45^{\circ}$ $30^{\circ}$ $15^{\circ}$ $0^{\circ}$ $-15^{\circ}$ $-30^{\circ}$ $-45^{\circ}$ $-60^{\circ}$ $-75^{\circ}$

Regions of enhanced/suppressed transparency shift with rigidity

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

### Effect on observables: Anisotropic EGCRs – Galactic lensing edge of Galaxy

see also: Astropart.Phys. 85 (2016) 54-64 for lensing scheme & Eichmann, JCAP04(2020)047 for parallel work

Propagation in GMF can be quantified via lens

- distance of EG source to observer >> size of Galaxy
  - $\rightarrow$  only injection **direction** relevant

Procedure:

- **1 track** *N* **particles** between Earth and edge of Galaxy and **store injection direction** at edge and **arrival direction** at Earth
- 2 discretise solid angle range and ascribe numbers n and m to corresponding injection and arrival directions



### Effect on observables: Anisotropic EGCRs – Galactic lensing edge of Galaxy

see also: Astropart.Phys. 85 (2016) 54-64 for lensing scheme & Eichmann, JCAP04(2020)047 for parallel work

- **3** count occurrence *o* of each injection/arrival direction pair (n,m)
  - spans matrix  $L(l_{nm} = o)$
  - L signifies distribution of arrival directions m at the observer point for each injection direction n
- 4 matrix weighted by its 1-norm
  - (= number of backtracked particles N) defines lens

 $\rightarrow$  calculate arrival direction distribution for any injection direction distribution:

$$\vec{A} = \vec{I} \cdot \mathcal{L}$$









Injection direction distribution: **Pure dipole** 

- surviving dipole in arrival direction distribution above 1 EV
- strong isotropisation by GMF at lower energies

#### Rigidity spectrum at Earth → **possible flux modification**





Flux at Earth



Injection direction distribution: **Pure single-point source** (Cen A) surviving dipole in arrival direction distribution above 1 EV

strong isotropisation by GMF at lower energies

#### Rigidity spectrum at Earth → **possible flux modification**



Flux at Earth



Injection direction · su distribution: dir **Pure single-point** ab **source** (minimum · str Galactic transparency; GI Galactic centre) Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

surviving dipole in arrival direction distribution above 1 EV

strong isotropisation by GMF at lower energies

#### Rigidity spectrum at Earth → **possible flux modification**



Flux at Earth

Flux at Earth



Injection direction distribution: **Pure single-point source** (Galactic anti-centre)

surviving dipole in arrival direction distribution above 1 EV

strong isotropisation by GMF at lower energies

#### Rigidity spectrum at Earth → **possible flux modification**

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

## Summary (1)

**Computational** challenges:

- change in propagation regimes
  - both propagation methods necessary, ideally in selfconsistent framework
     → CRPropa 3
- GMF poorly understood
  - apply **multiple models**
  - improve measurements of observables and associated quality
  - use more input in model creation (see also IMAGINE project)

## Summary (2)

**Transition region** in data:

- multiple features in spectrum, composition, ..., many of which have an unknown origin
  - → goal of simulation: **reproduce these data** (qualitatively) to gain understanding of underlying processes
- propagation in GMF:
  - GCRs:

# leakage from Galaxy leads to 'knee'-like feature → significant contribution of GCRs originating from GP disfavoured

- EGCRs:
  - part of 'ankle' may be a propagation effect in GMF

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

## Thank you for your attention!
## **Open questions**

### **Propagation effects:**

- How does the change in propagation regimes manifest?
- Do propagation features arise?

GCRs:

- How **strongly** are they **contained**/How easily do they diffuse out of the Galaxy?
- What **effect** does this have **on** the GCR **flux**?

EGCRs:

- How **strongly** are they **shielded** by the GMF?
- How are they **deflected** by the GMF **once** they have **entered** the **Galactic plane**?
- Does this lead to **flux modification**?

## Liouville's Theorem

- Objection to flux modification of EGCRs: Liouville's Theorem
  - If phase space density is conserved, so is flux
  - BUT: If Liouville holds, then other quantities are conserved, i.a. first adiabtic invariant

~ classical magnetic moment (APJ 842:54, APJ 830:19):

$$\mu = \frac{e}{2 \, m \pi \, c} \cdot I = \text{const.} \Rightarrow r_{\mu} = \frac{\sigma_{\mu}}{\langle \mu \rangle} \text{ small}$$



#### Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de



Flux enhancement towards lower rigidities appears to flatten out → sigmoid fit
 Advantage: wider overlapping energy range of mixed compositions
 Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de Transition from GCRs to EGCRs B75



Flux enhancement towards lower rigidities appears to flatten out → sigmoid fit
 Advantage: wider overlapping energy range of mixed compositions
 Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de Transition from GCRs to EGCRs B76



Flux enhancement towards lower rigidities appears to flatten out → sigmoid fit
 Advantage: wider overlapping energy range of mixed compositions
 Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de
 Transition from GCRs to EGCRs
 B77



Flux enhancement towards lower rigidities appears to flatten out → sigmoid fit
 Advantage: wider overlapping energy range of mixed compositions
 Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de Transition from GCRs to EGCRs B78

### GCRs – Total flux (data and sigmoid fit)



• Onset of flux suppression for mixed composition visible for sigmoid fit

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

## On the modification of EGCR energy spectrum

 Propagation time and fraction of space traversed increases to compensate shielding



Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

## On the modification of EGCR energy spectrum

 Propagation time and fraction of space traversed increases to compensate shielding



Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de



Injection direction distributions of backtracked and forward tracked protons match



Lensed arrival direction distribution and spectrum of isotropic injection distribution is as expected.

## Anisotropic EGCRs – Galactic lensing

#### Injected flux



Flux at Earth



10<sup>-2</sup> 10<sup>-3</sup> 10<sup>-3</sup> 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Harmonic moment *l* 



Injection direction distribution: **Pure dipole** 

Distribution of harmonic moments of arrival direction distribution above 1 EV → strong isotropisation by GMF

# Rigidity spectrum at Earth $\rightarrow$ **possible flux modification**

#### Aniantunion

## Interlude:

Dipole anisot



(2020) pp.1-98



## Anisotropies

Dipole anisotropy:

- amplitude increases with energy
- no significant dipole between  $\sim 10^{16.5} \text{ eV} 10^{19} \text{ eV}$
- phase roughly constant in both energy ranges but shifts away from Galactic centre (GC) for highest energies
  - → **extragalactic** origin likely

Small-scale anisotropies:

 amplitude and direction indicate strength of diffusion vs. advection: correlation with source direction
 ⇔ strength of Galactic wind

#### see also: Becker-Tjus, Physics Reports 872 (2020) pp.1-98



#### Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

## Major challenge: GMF model

GMF not well known:

- field strength inferred indirectly via observables:
  - Faraday rotation (for  $B_{\parallel}$
  - synchrotron emission (for B)
  - thermal dust emission/ polarised starlight (for *B*)
  - $\rightarrow$  uncertainty in quantities, contamin

of other sources of radiation

- ad hoc assumptions necessary (simplifications):
  - morphological features
  - field components (regular, turbulent etc.)

#### x-y and x-z projections of coherent field for various GMF models



#### Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de

## Outlook (1)

Combine fluxes:

- GCRs:
  - Test discrete source distribution
  - Include rigidity-dependent cut-off at around "knee" energies
    - → fit to "knee" region
- EGCRs:
  - Realistic source distribution (e.g. 10 brightest AGN/SBGs) and spectra
    → fit to "post" ankle flux
  - → retrieve "missing" flux

Alex Kääpä a.kaeaepae@uni-wuppertal.de